



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,223		09/17/2003	Jean-Paul Salome	2-1032-214	8466	
466	7590	12/28/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
YOUNG	& THOM	PSON		MONDESI,	ROBERT B	
745 SOUT	TH 23RD ST	TREET			·· ·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2ND FLO	OR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			1653			

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/666,223	SALOME ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Robert B. Mondesi	1653

	Robert B. Mondesi	1653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
HE REPLY FILED <u>29 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
 a)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no		
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	-	D WITHIN TWO		
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i for bove		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS					
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NC		because		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	,.	educing or simplifying	the issues for		
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.			
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	* **	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	3):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 14-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).		
0. 🛮 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:		
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims have been amended to include the limitations that were not previously present in any of the independent or dependent claims; for example claims 14-18 and 21-25 presently include the limitation "selecting an industrial starch unit for the treatment of potato" and as consequence the mentioned new limitations will require a new search and further considerations.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants' affidavit has been entered and will be considered as to how it applies to the rejections should the claims be entered in the future. In the said affidavit Mr. Jean-Marc Verrin explains the reasons as to why the presently claimed invention is different than the invention disclosed in the prior art reference by Nickel US Patent 5,034,227. Issues such as the difference between the structure of starch granules as opposed to pea granules and the need for independent extracting and refining processes for each type of granule has been discussed. Mr. Jean-Marc Verrin asserts further that an industrial starch unit for the treatment of potato possesses it own specificities and although it makes mention of certain pieces if equipment, Nickel remains silent as to the possibility of specifically carrying out the invention of the present application in an industrial starch unit for the treatment of potato.

JON WEBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Notice of Non-Compliant

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/666,223	SALOME ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Robert B. Mondesi	1653

Amenament (37 CFR 1.121)		A11 01111			
	Robert B. Mondesi	1653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
The amendment document filed on is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of GT CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.					
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include B. New paragraph(s) should not be unde C. Other	markings.	BE NON-COMPLI	ANT:		
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37B. Other	7 CFR 1.72.				
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 (B. The practice of submitting proposed deshowing amended figures, without materials. C. Other 	CFR 1.121(d). rawing correction has been elimin	ated. Replaceme	ent drawings		
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include to ☒ C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. Not number by using one of the following (Previously presented), (New), (Not etc.) ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper to the continuation sheet. 	the text of all pending claims (inclined the proper status identifier, and ote: the status of every claim must status identifiers: (Original), (Currintered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	as such, the indiv at be indicated afte ently amended), (awn-currently ame	vidual status er its claim (Canceled), ended).		
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at					

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opta/preognotice/officenyer.pdf

TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
- 2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Application No. 10/666,223

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Claims 1-13 were designated as canceled in amendment filed June 14, 2005; however presently the applicants have designated the claims as withdrawn.